UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,464	10/07/2005	Kozo Murao	279302US0PCT	2239
22850 7590 07/15/2008 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			LISTVOYB, GREGORY	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1796		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/552,464	MURAO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796	

	GREGORY LISTVOYB	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cl periods:	the same day as filing a Notic eplies: (1) an amendment, aff al (with appeal fee) in complia	e of Appeal. To avoid abar idavit, or other evidence, w ance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	lvisory Action, or (2) the date set ter than SIX MONTHS from the n o). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	nailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the strength in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding am nortened statutory period for reply	ount of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b  (a) They raise new issues that would require further con  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	sideration and/or search (see v);	NOTE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11	6 and 41.33(a)).	•	
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.</li> </ul>		,	,
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 1-15.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		] will be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	sufficient reasons why the aff	fidavit or other evidence is	necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I</li> </ul>	, , , , ,		ce because:
13. Other:	TO/SD/00/ Paper No(s).		
	/Rabon Sergent/ Primary Examiner, <i>I</i>	Art Unit 1796	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments raise new issues and requires new consideration/search, because they significantly change scope of the claim. Specifically, proposed amendment of claim 1, claiming "acrylamide polymer is white in the form of a powder and is colorless in the form of an aqueous solution" has never been considered before and requires new consideration and search..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1. Applicant argues that Abe teaches coppercatalysed process, which is not enzimatic one. However, Abe is cited to show that purification of starting material (Acrylonitrile) is beneficial since oxazole (impurity) increases toxicity of the resulting polymer. It is clear that purification of starting material in order to achieve the above results is applicable to a process catalysed by any catalyst, since oxazole does not participate in the polymerisation. Hydrogen Cyanide should be removed since it lowering deactivation rate of the enzyme (see Ishii disclosure). Acrylamide, obtained by Hwang process, as modified, would inherently meet all the properties of the Acrylamide as claimed, including solubility and color..